


MEMBERS
LOUANN VAN DER WIELE
CHAIRPERSON
REV. MICHAEL MURRAY
VICE-CHAIRPERSON
DULCE M. FULLER
SECRETARY
JAMES A. FINK
JOHN W. INHULSEN
JONATHAN E. LAUDERBACH
BARBARA WILLIAMS FORNEY
KAREN D. O'DONOGHUE
MICHAEL B. RIZIK, JR.

STATE OF MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE BOARD



211 WEST FORT STREET, SUITE 1410
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226-3236
PHONE: 313-963-5553 | FAX: 313-963-5571

MARK A. ARMITAGE
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
—
WENDY A. NEELEY
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
—
KAREN M. DALEY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL
—
SHERRY L. MIFSUD
OFFICE ADMINISTRATOR
—
ALLYSON M. PLOURDE
CASE MANAGER
—
OWEN R. MONTGOMERY
CASE MANAGER
—
JULIE M. LOISELLE
RECEPTIONIST/SECRETARY
—
www.adbmich.org

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION
(By Consent)

Case Nos. 16-127-JC; 16-128-GA

Notice Issued: December 8, 2016

Gregory J. Rohl, P 39185, Novi, Michigan, by the Attorney Discipline Board Tri-County Hearing Panel #63.

Suspension - 30 Days, Effective December 1, 2016

The respondent and the Grievance Administrator filed a stipulation for a consent order of discipline, in accordance with MCR 9.115(F)(5), which was approved by the Attorney Grievance Commission and accepted by the hearing panel. The stipulation contained respondent's admissions that he was convicted of disorderly conduct, in violation of MCL 750.1671F, and telecommunications service - malicious use, in violation of MCL 750.540E, in *People of the State of Michigan v Gregory Joseph Rohl*, Wayne County Circuit Court Case No. 11-853-01-FH; and admissions to the allegations that he committed professional misconduct when he failed to make reasonable efforts to ensure that his firm had in effect measures giving reasonable assurance that his non-lawyer assistants' conduct was compatible with his professional obligations and failed to make reasonable efforts to ensure that his non-lawyer assistants' conduct was compatible with his professional obligations.

Based on the parties' stipulation, respondent's convictions, and his admissions in the stipulation, the panel found that respondent engaged in conduct that violated the criminal laws of the State of Michigan, in violation of MCR 9.104(5). Respondent was also found to have violated MRPC 5.3(a) and(b).

In accordance with the stipulation of the parties, the hearing panel ordered that respondent's license to practice law in Michigan be suspended for 30 days. Costs were assessed in the amount of \$1,588.52.


Mark A. Armitage